American Journal of
AI Digital Transformation and Regenerative Pharmacist
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0418 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

Role of AI Chatbots in Digital Healthcare Marketing and Patient Communication

DR. Hari Prakash Telukuntla

Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management,
Aurora's PG College, Uppal, Hyderabad, Telangana

Email: thariprakash79@gmail.com

Abstract--- *Artificial intelligence (AI) chatbots have become an increasingly visible component of digital healthcare marketing, powering appointment scheduling, symptom-triage assistance, lead generation, and post-treatment follow-up across hospital websites, mobile applications, and messaging platforms. This paper examines the role of AI chatbots in digital healthcare marketing and patient communication, with emphasis on the specific chatbot functions patients find most useful, the factors that build or erode patient trust in conversational AI, and the extent to which chatbot deployment improves marketing outcomes such as lead conversion and patient retention. Primary data was collected through structured questionnaires administered to 150 patients who had interacted with a healthcare chatbot and 50 healthcare-marketing professionals responsible for deploying such tools, supplemented by secondary data from industry reports and academic literature. Descriptive statistics, weighted-mean ranking, chi-square tests, and correlation analysis were used to interpret the data. Findings indicate that appointment scheduling and instant query resolution are the most valued chatbot functions, while empathy deficit and data-privacy concerns remain the leading barriers to deeper patient trust. Marketing professionals report meaningful gains in lead-response time and after-hours engagement, though most organizations continue to rely on hybrid human-AI escalation models for sensitive conversations. The study concludes with recommendations for healthcare organizations on chatbot design, escalation protocols, and transparent communication of AI involvement to strengthen both marketing effectiveness and patient trust.*

Keywords: *AI chatbots, healthcare marketing, patient communication, conversational AI, digital health engagement, chatbot adoption, patient trust, artificial intelligence in healthcare.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Digital healthcare marketing has evolved rapidly from static websites and email campaigns toward interactive, always-available communication channels. Among the most significant of these is the AI-powered chatbot: a conversational software agent, typically built on natural-language-processing (NLP) models, capable of answering patient queries, scheduling appointments, qualifying marketing leads, and delivering personalized health information around the clock. Hospitals, clinics, diagnostic chains, and health-technology companies increasingly embed chatbots into their websites, mobile applications, and messaging platforms as both a marketing tool and a patient-communication channel.

The appeal of chatbots to healthcare marketers lies in their ability to engage prospective patients instantly, regardless of time of day, and to route qualified leads to

Received: 08-03-2025 | Accepted: 16-04-2025 | Published: 22-04-2025

American Journal of
AI Digital Transformation and Regenerative Pharmacist
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0418 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

human staff without requiring additional call-center capacity. For patients, chatbots offer a low-friction way to ask routine questions, check symptoms, book appointments, or receive appointment reminders without waiting on hold. However, healthcare communication carries higher stakes than typical customer service, raising distinct questions about trust, empathy, accuracy, and the appropriate boundary between automated and human interaction.

This paper examines how AI chatbots are being used in digital healthcare marketing and patient communication, from the perspective of both patients who interact with them and the marketing professionals who deploy them. It investigates which chatbot functions patients value most, what factors shape patient trust in these tools, and how healthcare marketers perceive the return on investment from chatbot deployment in terms of lead conversion, response time, and after-hours engagement.

Background: The healthcare chatbot market has expanded alongside broader growth in conversational AI and large-language-model technology, with adoption accelerating across appointment booking, insurance-eligibility checks, medication reminders, mental-health first-response tools, and marketing-lead qualification. This growth has been accompanied by parallel concerns among regulators and patient-advocacy groups regarding data privacy, the accuracy of AI-generated health information, and the risk of over-automating sensitive patient interactions.

Scope and Significance: The scope of this study covers patients who have directly interacted with a healthcare chatbot for at least one purpose (appointment booking, query resolution, symptom triage, or follow-up) within the preceding year, together with marketing professionals actively involved in chatbot deployment decisions. The study is significant for three stakeholder groups: healthcare marketing teams evaluating chatbot return on investment, chatbot and health-technology vendors designing conversational interfaces, and healthcare administrators balancing automation efficiency against patient trust and safety considerations.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 outlines the objectives of the study; Section 3 reviews relevant literature; Section 4 details the research methodology; Section 5 presents data analysis and interpretation; Section 6 discusses findings and suggestions; and Section 7 concludes with implications and directions for future research.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To identify the primary functions for which patients use AI chatbots in healthcare settings.
- To examine the factors that influence patient trust in AI-driven healthcare communication.
- To assess healthcare marketing professionals' perception of chatbot effectiveness in lead generation and patient engagement.
- To analyze the association between patient demographics and chatbot usage patterns.
- To recommend design and deployment practices that improve both marketing outcomes and patient trust.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

[1] N. Bibault et al. (2019) reviewed conversational-agent applications in oncology patient education and found that chatbots improved information accessibility but required careful escalation pathways for emotionally sensitive queries.

[2] A. Palanica et al. (2019) surveyed physicians on attitudes toward AI chatbots for patient-facing tasks and reported cautious optimism, with support strongest

Received: 08-03-2025 | Accepted: 16-04-2025 | Published: 22-04-2025

American Journal of
AI Digital Transformation and Regenerative Pharmacist
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0418 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

for administrative functions such as scheduling and reminders rather than diagnostic conversations.

[3] Accenture (2021) estimated substantial cost savings for health systems deploying conversational AI for routine administrative queries, while cautioning that trust-sensitive interactions still required human oversight.

[4] S. Laranjo et al. (2020) conducted a systematic review of conversational-agent studies in healthcare, concluding that user satisfaction was highest when chatbots clearly disclosed their non-human nature and offered a simple path to a human agent.

[5] Deloitte (2022) reported that healthcare marketers using chatbots for lead qualification saw measurable improvements in after-hours engagement and reduced average lead-response time compared to email-only channels.

[6] R. Fadhil and Y. Wang (2021) found that empathetic language design in health chatbots significantly improved perceived helpfulness among patients seeking symptom information, even when informational content was held constant.

[7] PwC (2022) surveyed healthcare consumers on AI adoption and found that data-privacy assurance was the single strongest predictor of willingness to share health information with a chatbot.

[8] K. Denecke et al. (2020) examined mental-health chatbot deployments and identified a persistent empathy gap between AI-generated responses and patient expectations during emotionally charged conversations.

[9] Gartner (2021) projected continued growth in healthcare-chatbot adoption for administrative and marketing use cases, while forecasting slower adoption for clinical decision-support applications due to regulatory and liability concerns.

[10] M. Nadarzynski et al. (2019) studied patient acceptability of chatbots for sexual-health information and found younger patients significantly more willing to disclose sensitive information to a chatbot than to a human provider.

[11] J. Xu et al. (2021) analyzed hospital-website chatbot logs and found appointment scheduling and insurance queries accounted for the majority of interactions, with clinical symptom questions forming a smaller but higher-risk category requiring careful design.

[12] McKinsey & Company (2022) noted that hybrid human-AI escalation models, in which chatbots handle routine queries and seamlessly transfer complex cases to human staff, achieved the highest patient-satisfaction scores among healthcare-chatbot deployments studied.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

A mixed-methods research approach was adopted, combining quantitative survey analysis of patient and marketing-professional responses with qualitative insights drawn from secondary industry sources, enabling both statistical measurement of chatbot-function importance and contextual understanding of adoption drivers and barriers.

4.1 Research Design

A descriptive and exploratory research design was employed. The descriptive component quantifies the relative importance patients assign to different chatbot functions and the trust factors that shape their willingness to engage, while the exploratory component investigates marketing professionals' perceptions of chatbot return on investment. The study was cross-sectional, with data collected over a two-month period.

The dual-respondent design, capturing both patient and marketer perspectives, was chosen to allow direct comparison between how chatbots are used and perceived on the ground versus how they are valued from a

American Journal of
AI Digital Transformation and Regenerative Pharmacist
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0418 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

marketing-performance standpoint. A pilot test of both questionnaires was conducted with 20 respondents to refine item wording; Cronbach's alpha for the patient-trust factor scale was 0.79 and for the marketer-perception scale was 0.83, both indicating acceptable internal consistency.

4.2 Data Sources

- Primary Data: Structured questionnaires using five-point Likert scales were administered to 150 patients who had used a healthcare chatbot within the preceding twelve months, and separately to 50 healthcare-marketing professionals involved in chatbot deployment or oversight.
- Secondary Data: Published reports from Deloitte, Accenture, PwC, Gartner, McKinsey & Company, and peer-reviewed journal articles on conversational AI in healthcare were used to contextualize primary findings.

4.3 Sample Size

A total sample of 200 respondents (150 patients and 50 marketing professionals) was selected using purposive and convenience sampling. Patient respondents spanned four age brackets: below 25 years (22%), 25-40 years (38%), 41-55 years (26%), and above 55 years (14%). Marketing-professional respondents were drawn from hospital marketing departments, digital-health startups, and healthcare-marketing agencies.

4.4 Tools for Analysis

- Descriptive statistics: mean, standard deviation, and percentage analysis of Likert-scale responses.
- Weighted-mean ranking to determine the relative importance of chatbot functions and trust factors.
- Chi-square test of independence to examine association between age group and chatbot usage purpose.
- Pearson correlation to examine the relationship between trust factors and reported patient satisfaction.

4.5 Limitations of the Study

The study has certain limitations. The patient sample of 150 respondents, while sufficient for the statistical tests applied, was drawn from users who had already engaged with a healthcare chatbot at least once, potentially excluding the perspectives of patients who avoid such tools entirely. Marketing-professional responses reflect self-reported perceptions of return on investment rather than independently audited performance metrics. The cross-sectional design also limits the ability to observe how trust in AI chatbots evolves with repeated use over time; a longitudinal design would strengthen future research in this area.

5. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

5.1 Demographic Profile of Respondents

The patient sample of 150 respondents was distributed across age, gender, and usage-frequency categories as summarized in Table I, ensuring representation of both first-time and repeat chatbot users.

Demographic Variable	Category	Percentage
Age Group	Below 25 years	22%
	25-40 years	38%
	41-55 years	26%
	Above 55 years	14%
Gender	Male	49%
	Female	51%
Chatbot Use Frequency	First-time user	31%
	Repeat user	69%

Table I: Demographic Profile of Patient Respondents

5.2 Chatbot Functions Used by Patients

Received: 08-03-2025 | Accepted: 16-04-2025 | Published: 22-04-2025

American Journal of
AI Digital Transformation and Regenerative Pharmacist
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0418 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

Table II presents the proportion of patient respondents who have used a healthcare chatbot for each listed function. Appointment scheduling and instant query resolution are the most commonly used functions, while post-treatment follow-up remains comparatively less utilized.

Chatbot Function Used	Patients (%)
Appointment scheduling	71%
Instant query / FAQ resolution	64%
Symptom-check / triage guidance	42%
Medication / appointment reminders	38%
Insurance and billing queries	34%
Post-treatment follow-up	27%

Table II: Chatbot Functions Used by Patients

5.3 Importance Ranking of Chatbot Functions

Weighted-mean analysis of six chatbot functions, rated on a five-point Likert scale, identifies appointment scheduling as the most valued function, followed by instant query resolution and reminder services, as shown in Table III.

Chatbot Function	Mean Score (/5)	Rank
Appointment scheduling	4.41	1
Instant query resolution	4.28	2
Medication / appointment reminders	4.05	3
Insurance and billing queries	3.88	4
Symptom-check / triage guidance	3.62	5
Post-treatment follow-up	3.51	6

Table III: Ranking of Chatbot Functions by Patient Importance

5.4 Trust Factors in AI Chatbot Communication

Table IV ranks the factors patients consider most important in building trust toward a healthcare chatbot. Clear disclosure of the chatbot's AI identity and data-privacy assurance rank highest, ahead of response speed.

Trust Factor	Mean Score (/5)	Rank
Clear disclosure of AI (non-human) identity	4.36	1
Data privacy and security assurance	4.29	2
Easy escalation to a human agent	4.18	3
Accuracy of information provided	4.10	4
Empathetic / natural tone of responses	3.74	5
Speed of response	3.69	6

Table IV: Ranking of Trust Factors in AI Chatbot Communication

5.5 Channel Preference by Age Group

Cross-tabulation of age group against first-contact channel preference reveals a pronounced generational divide, with younger patients considerably more likely to prefer a chatbot as their first point of contact, as shown in Table V.

Age Group	Prefer Chatbot First	Prefer Human Contact First
Below 25 years	78%	22%
25-40 years	66%	34%
41-55 years	44%	56%
Above 55 years	21%	79%

Table V: Preference for Chatbot vs Human Contact by Age Group

5.6 Marketing Professionals' Perceived Outcomes

Among the 50 marketing professionals surveyed, reduced lead-response time and increased after-hours engagement were the most widely reported benefits of chatbot deployment, as shown in Table VI.

Marketing Outcome	Marketers Reporting Improvement (%)
Reduced average lead-response time	82%
Increased after-hours engagement	76%
Improved lead-to-appointment conversion	58%
Reduced call-center workload	64%

Received: 08-03-2025 | Accepted: 16-04-2025 | Published: 22-04-2025

American Journal of
AI Digital Transformation and Regenerative Pharmacist
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0418 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

Marketing Outcome	Marketers Reporting Improvement (%)
Improved patient-satisfaction scores	41%

Table VI: Marketing Outcomes Reported by Healthcare Marketers

5.7 Statistical Significance Testing

Chi-square tests of independence confirm that age group is significantly associated with chatbot usage purpose, and chatbot-use frequency is significantly associated with trust score, while gender shows no significant association with chatbot function preference, as summarized in Table VII.

Variable Pair	Chi-square (X2)	p-value	Result
Age Group x Chatbot Usage Purpose	34.85	< 0.01	Significant
Chatbot Use Frequency x Trust Score	17.92	< 0.01	Significant
Gender x Chatbot Function Preference	2.87	0.24	Not Significant

Table VII: Chi-Square Test Results

5.8 Correlation Between Trust Factors and Usage Outcomes

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine relationships between key trust factors and usage outcomes. As shown in Table VIII, disclosure of AI identity and ease of human escalation are both moderately-to-strongly correlated with overall trust and satisfaction, while age is moderately negatively correlated with willingness to use a chatbot as a first point of contact.

Variable Pair	Correlation (r)	Interpretation
AI-identity disclosure x Overall trust	0.61	Moderate-strong positive
Easy human escalation x Patient satisfaction	0.57	Moderate positive
Age x Willingness to use chatbot first	-0.52	Moderate negative
Response accuracy x Repeat usage intent	0.49	Moderate positive

Table VIII: Correlation Analysis of Key Variables

6. FINDINGS AND SUGGESTIONS

6.1 Key Findings

- Appointment scheduling emerged as the single most valued chatbot function among patients, with a weighted mean score of 4.41 out of 5, followed by instant query resolution at 4.28.
- Clear disclosure of the chatbot's AI identity was the strongest trust factor overall, ahead of data-privacy assurance and ease of escalation to a human agent.
- A pronounced generational divide exists in first-contact channel preference: 78% of patients below 25 years prefer a chatbot first, compared to only 21% of patients above 55 years.
- Chatbot-use frequency is significantly associated with trust score (chi-square = 17.92, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that repeated positive interactions build cumulative trust.
- Among marketing professionals, 82% reported reduced average lead-response time and 76% reported increased after-hours engagement following chatbot deployment, though only 58% reported improved lead-to-appointment conversion.
- Empathetic tone and response accuracy, while ranked lower than disclosure and privacy factors, remain moderately correlated with repeat-usage intent, indicating a continuing empathy gap that limits deeper reliance on chatbots for sensitive conversations.
- Most healthcare organizations surveyed continue to operate hybrid human-AI escalation models rather than fully automated patient communication,

American Journal of
AI Digital Transformation and Regenerative Pharmacist
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0418 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

reflecting caution around clinically or emotionally sensitive interactions.

Figure 1 (represented as Table IX below in place of a pie chart, per single-column formatting constraints) summarizes the share of patient respondents citing each chatbot function as the one they value most.

Most Valued Chatbot Function	Share of Respondents (%)
Appointment scheduling	31%
Instant query resolution	26%
Medication / appointment reminders	17%
Insurance and billing queries	14%
Other functions (triage, follow-up)	12%

Table IX: Distribution of Most Valued Chatbot Function

6.2 Suggestions

- Healthcare organizations should clearly and consistently disclose when a patient is interacting with an AI chatbot rather than a human, given its status as the leading trust factor identified in this study.
- Chatbot interfaces should prioritize frictionless appointment-scheduling and query-resolution flows, as these are the two most valued functions among patients.
- A simple, always-visible option to escalate to a human agent should be maintained at every stage of a chatbot conversation, particularly for symptom-related or emotionally sensitive queries.
- Data-privacy practices should be communicated transparently within the chatbot interface itself, rather than relegated to a separate privacy policy page, to reinforce trust at the point of interaction.
- Marketing teams should track lead-to-appointment conversion, not only response time and engagement volume, as a core chatbot performance metric, given the comparatively lower reported gains in this outcome.
- Chatbot scripts should be refined for empathetic tone, particularly for older patients and for sensitive-topic conversations, to help close the identified empathy gap without overstating the system's clinical capability.

6.3 Managerial and Policy Implications

For healthcare marketing leaders, the findings suggest that chatbot investment yields the clearest returns in operational efficiency metrics such as lead-response time and after-hours engagement, while conversion and satisfaction gains depend more heavily on trust-building design choices such as transparent AI disclosure and easy human escalation. For chatbot and health-technology vendors, the identified empathy gap and generational divide in channel preference point toward a continued need for adaptive conversational design that adjusts tone and escalation thresholds based on patient profile. For healthcare administrators and regulators, the widespread reliance on hybrid human-AI models suggests that policy frameworks should focus on ensuring reliable escalation pathways and clear AI-disclosure standards, rather than treating chatbot deployment as a binary automated-versus-human choice.

7. CONCLUSION

This study examined the role of AI chatbots in digital healthcare marketing and patient communication, drawing on the perspectives of both patients and healthcare-marketing professionals. The findings confirm that appointment scheduling and instant query resolution are the chatbot functions patients value most, while transparent AI-identity disclosure and data-privacy assurance are the strongest determinants of patient trust.

A clear generational divide was observed in willingness to use chatbots as a first point of contact, with younger patients considerably more receptive than older patients, who continue to prefer human contact for most interactions. From a marketing perspective,

American Journal of
AI Digital Transformation and Regenerative Pharmacist
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0418 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

chatbot deployment delivers strong, consistently reported gains in lead-response time and after-hours engagement, though gains in lead-to-appointment conversion and overall patient satisfaction are more modest and more dependent on trust-building design choices.

Overall, healthcare organizations that combine efficient, well-designed chatbot functionality with transparent disclosure practices and reliable human-escalation pathways are best positioned to capture the marketing benefits of conversational AI while preserving the patient trust that healthcare communication fundamentally depends on.

Future research could extend this study through longitudinal analysis of how patient trust in healthcare chatbots evolves with repeated use, through comparative studies across different chatbot platforms and clinical specialties, and through deeper investigation of how emerging large-language-model-based conversational agents may reshape the balance between automation and human involvement in patient communication.

8. REFERENCE

[1] N. Bibault, L. Chaix, A. Guillemasse, and P. Nectoux, "A Chatbot for the Management of Patients with Cancer," JMIR Cancer, vol. 5, no. 1, e12856, 2019.

[2] A. Palanica, P. Flaschner, A. Thommandram, M. Li, and Y. Fossat, "Physicians' Perceptions of Chatbots in Health Care," Journal of Medical Internet Research, vol. 21, no. 4, e12887, 2019.

[3] Accenture, "AI in Healthcare: Chatbots and the Cost-Savings Opportunity," Accenture Insights, 2021.

[4] R. Laranjo et al., "Conversational Agents in Healthcare: A Systematic Review," Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1248-1258, 2020.

[5] Deloitte, "Digital Health Marketing Survey: Conversational AI Adoption," Deloitte Insights, 2022.

[6] R. Fadhil and Y. Wang, "The Effect of Empathetic Design on Health Chatbot Perceived Helpfulness," International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, vol. 148, 102584, 2021.

[7] PwC, "Consumer Trust in Healthcare AI: A Global Survey," PwC Health Research Institute, 2022.

[8] K. Denecke, S. Vaaheesan, and A. Arulnathan, "A Mental Health Chatbot for Regulating Emotions (SERMO): Concept and Usability Test," IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1170-1182, 2020.

[9] Gartner, "Forecast: Conversational AI in Healthcare Through 2025," Gartner Research, 2021.

[10] M. Nadarzynski, O. Miles, A. Cowie, and D. Ridge, "Acceptability of Artificial Intelligence (AI)-Led Chatbot Services in Healthcare," Digital Health, vol. 5, pp. 1-12, 2019.

[11] J. Xu, Y. Wang, and K. Chen, "Analyzing Hospital Website Chatbot Interaction Logs: Patterns and Implications," Health Informatics Journal, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 1-15, 2021.

[12] McKinsey & Company, "The State of Conversational AI in Healthcare Delivery," McKinsey Global Institute, 2022.

[13] World Health Organization, "Ethics and Governance of Artificial Intelligence for Health," WHO, Geneva, 2021.

[14] S. Bickmore, D. Utami, R. Matsuyama, and M. Paasche-Orlow, "Improving Access to Online Health Information with Conversational Agents," Journal of Medical Internet Research, vol. 18, no. 1, e1, 2020.

[15] Confederation of Indian Industry, "AI Adoption in Indian Healthcare Marketing," CII Health Report, New Delhi, 2022.