International Journal of
LAW, ARTS AND HUMANITIES
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0523 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

# THE EVOLUTION OF THE CONCEPT OF CRUELTY AGAINST WOMEN IN INDIA: A QUALITATIVE CONTENT ANALYSIS OF LEGAL AND JUDICIAL DEVELOPMENTS

[1]Smt. Nabanita Das,
Research Scholar, Department of Law
Mahatma Gandhi University, Meghalaya,India

[2]Dr. Pradip Chandra Neogi,
Research Guide
Associate professor, Department of Law
Mahatma Gandhi University, Meghalaya, India

**Abstract**: Cruelty against women in India has gradually moved from being treated primarily as a private or matrimonial matter to being recognized as a legal, constitutional, and human rights concern. This transformation has taken place through legislative reforms, judicial interpretation, and the development of institutional mechanisms for the protection of women. This article examines the evolution of the legal concept of cruelty against women in India through qualitative content analysis of constitutional provisions, judicial decisions, statutes, Law Commission materials, government documents, and relevant scholarly literature. Particular attention is given to the development of Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code, its relationship with dowry-related violence, the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, and the contemporary provisions contained in Sections 85 and 86 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. The study finds that the legal meaning of cruelty has expanded from a relatively narrow concern with matrimonial harassment and physical harm towards a broader understanding that includes serious psychological, emotional, economic, and domestic forms of abuse. At the same time, judicial decisions demonstrate the continuing need to balance effective protection of women with procedural fairness and protection against arbitrary or mechanically initiated criminal proceedings. The article argues that the evolution of the concept reflects an ongoing interaction between gender equality, dignity, family law, criminal law, and constitutional values.

**Keywords**: cruelty against women, gender-based violence, Section 498A, Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, domestic violence, judicial interpretation, women's rights, constitutional dignity

## 1. Introduction

Cruelty against women occupies an important place in the legal and social history of women's rights in India. For a considerable period, violence and ill-treatment within marriage and the family were commonly understood through the framework of domestic or private relations. The legal response was consequently fragmented. Particular forms of conduct became criminalized only gradually, especially where dowry, matrimonial harassment, physical violence, or the death of a married woman was involved. The development of specific legal provisions represented an important shift because the state began to treat certain forms of violence within the family as matters of public concern rather than merely as disputes between spouses or relatives.

International Journal of
LAW, ARTS AND HUMANITIES
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0523 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

The concept of cruelty does not have a single meaning across Indian law. It appears in different legal contexts and therefore has different consequences. In matrimonial law, cruelty can constitute a ground for divorce. In criminal law, cruelty by a husband or his relatives has historically been addressed through Section 498A of the IPC and is now addressed through Sections 85 and 86 of the BNS. In cases involving dowry death and suicide, evidentiary presumptions may become relevant. The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (PWDVA) adopts an even broader framework by recognizing physical, sexual, verbal and emotional, and economic abuse as forms of domestic violence. This legal development reflects a wider change in the understanding of gender-based violence. Violence against women is not necessarily confined to visible physical injury. Psychological humiliation, repeated threats, coercive behavior, deprivation of economic resources, forced separation from a shared household, and harassment connected with unlawful demands may also seriously affect a woman's autonomy and dignity. Contemporary legal scholarship has consequently examined domestic violence as a problem involving both gendered power relations and institutional responses (Ghosh, 2013; Subramaniam & Krishnan, 2016).

The central research problem addressed in this article is therefore the changing legal meaning of cruelty. The question is not simply whether India has laws against cruelty, but how the law has progressively defined, interpreted, expanded, and regulated the concept. This question is particularly relevant because legal change has not followed a single direction. On one side, legislative and judicial developments have expanded protection for women. On the other, courts have also emphasized the need to prevent arbitrary arrest, exaggerated allegations, and mechanical use of criminal provisions. The Supreme Court's decisions concerning Section 498A demonstrate this dual concern. In *Sushil Kumar Sharma v. Union of India* (2005), the Court rejected a constitutional challenge to Section 498A while acknowledging that the possibility of misuse did not invalidate the provision. Later, in *Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar* (2014), the Court emphasised that arrest should not be automatic merely because an offence carries a prescribed punishment and required police officers to satisfy statutory conditions before making an arrest.

The objectives of this article are therefore threefold. First, it traces the historical and statutory development of the concept of cruelty against women in India. Second, it examines how Indian courts, particularly the Supreme Court, have interpreted physical, mental, matrimonial, and domestic cruelty. Third, it considers how the contemporary legal framework attempts to reconcile protection of women with procedural safeguards.

## 2. Review of Literature

The literature on violence against women in India demonstrates that cruelty has never been exclusively a legal problem. It is connected with gender relations, family structures, economic dependency, social expectations, dowry practices, and institutional responses. Legal scholarship has therefore examined both the possibilities and limitations of law as an instrument of social change.

Agnes (1999, 2000) has examined the relationship between gender, law, and women's rights in India and has shown that legal reform is embedded in wider struggles over family, sexuality, marriage, and social power. Her work is useful for understanding why formal legal equality does not automatically eliminate gender inequality. From this perspective, the criminalisation of matrimonial cruelty can be understood as an important legal intervention within a social structure in which women's roles

International Journal of
LAW, ARTS AND HUMANITIES
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0523 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

have historically been shaped by unequal expectations.

Ghosh (2013) critically examined India's legal framework concerning dowry and domestic violence. His review distinguished the older legal provisions concerning dowry and matrimonial cruelty from the PWDVA, 2005, which broadened the legal understanding of domestic violence. He argued that legislation alone cannot transform deeply established social relations and that implementation involves social, economic, administrative, and legal obstacles.

More recent research continues to examine the relationship between law and domestic violence. Panchal et al. (2023), for example, studied court records and stakeholder interviews concerning implementation of the PWDVA in Maharashtra. Their work indicates that dowry-related harassment continues to form an important component of cases brought under the Act. Furr and Kapur (2025) have also undertaken a longitudinal analysis of intimate partner violence and legal change, examining whether changes associated with the PWDVA corresponded with changes in different forms of violence.

This literature establishes three important points. First, cruelty has a social and gendered context that cannot be reduced to criminal law. Second, statutory reform has expanded the legal vocabulary available to women seeking protection. Third, judicial and institutional interpretation significantly affects the practical meaning of legal provisions.

**3. Methodology**

This study adopts a qualitative documentary content-analysis approach to examine the evolution of the concept of cruelty against women in Indian law. The analysis focuses on authoritative legal and policy documents in which cruelty is defined, interpreted, regulated, or discussed. Primary sources include the Constitution of India, the Indian Penal Code, the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, and the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023, along with selected Supreme Court judgments and Law Commission reports.

Judicial decisions were purposively selected to represent significant developments in the legal understanding of cruelty, including mental cruelty, dowry-related harassment, domestic violence, arrest safeguards, and women's rights within the shared household. Key decisions include *Sushil Kumar Sharma v. Union of India* (2005), *Samar Ghosh v. Jaya Ghosh* (2007), *Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar* (2014), and *Satish Chander Ahuja v. Sneha Ahuja* (2020/2021). The documents were thematically analysed under categories such as physical and mental harm, harassment, dowry-related conduct, domestic and economic abuse, dignity, evidentiary principles, judicial discretion, and procedural safeguards. Comparison across legal periods was used to identify continuity and change. Judicial decisions and administrative statistics are treated as legal and documentary evidence rather than direct measures of the prevalence of violence against women.

**4. The Legal Evolution of Cruelty against Women in India**

The legal concept of cruelty against women in India has developed alongside the expansion of constitutional equality, dignity, and women's rights. The Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 was an early statutory response to dowry-related harm, followed by the introduction of Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code in 1983, which criminalised cruelty by a husband or his relatives. It defined cruelty to include conduct causing serious physical or mental harm and harassment connected with unlawful demands for property.

Matrimonial law also recognised cruelty as a ground for divorce under Section 13(1)(ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955. Through judicial interpretation, this concept expanded beyond

Received: 15-05-2026 | Accepted: 20-06-2026 | Published: 27-06-2026

International Journal of
LAW, ARTS AND HUMANITIES
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0523 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

physical harm to include forms of mental cruelty. A further development came with the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, which adopted a broader protective framework covering physical, sexual, verbal, emotional, and economic abuse, along with remedies such as protection, residence, monetary relief, and compensation. The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, which replaced the IPC from 1 July 2024, retains the offence of cruelty by a husband or his relatives under Sections 85 and 86. The Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 also retains evidentiary presumptions relating to suicide by married women and dowry death. Thus, the legal evolution of cruelty reflects a gradual shift from addressing specific physical and dowry-related offences toward a broader recognition of psychological, domestic, and economic forms of harm.

**5. Statutory Recognition of Cruelty**

The statutory development demonstrates that "cruelty" is a relational and context-dependent legal concept. It cannot be understood independently of the purpose of the statute in which it appears.

The historical Section 498A IPC is particularly significant because it connected cruelty with both serious physical or mental harm and coercive harassment. This was a major departure from an understanding of matrimonial disputes as essentially private. By criminalising specified conduct by husbands and their relatives, the law recognised that the marital relationship could also be a site of serious rights violations. The provision was nevertheless subject to controversy concerning its criminal consequences. The Supreme Court in *Sushil Kumar Sharma* rejected the argument that the possibility of misuse rendered Section 498A unconstitutional. The Court distinguished between the validity of a law and its improper application, holding that the possibility of abuse did not itself invalidate the statutory provision. This distinction remains important because it prevents the existence of individual cases of misuse from being treated as proof that the protective objective of the law is unnecessary.

At the same time, judicial decisions have recognised the need for safeguards in criminal procedure. In *Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar* (2014), the Supreme Court directed attention to the statutory requirements governing arrest in offences punishable with imprisonment up to seven years. The Court made clear that the police should not treat arrest as an automatic consequence of registration of an offence and identified circumstances in which arrest may be necessary. The PWDVA altered the conceptual landscape further. The Act does not define domestic violence solely in terms of criminal conduct. It creates a protective framework addressing different forms of abuse and provides remedies intended to protect women in domestic relationships. This distinction between criminalisation and protection is central to understanding the development of the legal response.

**6. Judicial Construction of Cruelty**

Judicial interpretation has been central to the evolution of cruelty because legislation cannot anticipate every form of conduct that may arise within a relationship. Courts have therefore developed principles for distinguishing legally significant cruelty from ordinary marital disagreement.

**6.1 Physical and Mental Cruelty**

The Supreme Court's matrimonial jurisprudence has consistently recognized mental cruelty as distinct from physical violence. In *Samar Ghosh v. Jaya Ghosh* (2007), the Court explained that mental cruelty cannot be reduced to a fixed formula. The judgment recognized that human relationships vary and that conduct must be assessed in its particular circumstances. The Court also emphasised that the concept of mental cruelty cannot remain static because social values

Received: 15-05-2026 | Accepted: 20-06-2026 | Published: 27-06-2026

International Journal of
LAW, ARTS AND HUMANITIES
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0523 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

and patterns of human interaction change over time. This principle is important because it prevents cruelty from being confined to visible injury. Mental cruelty may arise through a course of conduct, persistent humiliation, serious accusations, or behaviour that substantially affects the mental well-being of a spouse. At the same time, judicial flexibility means that courts must examine the cumulative circumstances rather than treating every unpleasant interaction as cruelty.

Recent Supreme Court decisions have continued to rely on *Samar Ghosh* when explaining that the entire matrimonial relationship may need to be considered. The Court has observed that cruelty may sometimes be inferred from repeated reproaches, accusations, taunts, or the overall pattern of conduct rather than from a single violent act.

**6.2 Harassment and Dowry-Related Cruelty**

Dowry-related harassment represents a particularly important category because the legal response developed in direct response to serious forms of violence associated with demands for property or valuable security.

Historically, Section 498A linked cruelty to harassment intended to coerce a woman or her relatives to meet an unlawful demand. This connection remains important under contemporary Section 86 of the BNS, which carries forward the statutory concept of cruelty.

The evidentiary framework relating to dowry death further illustrates the connection between cruelty, harassment, and serious consequences. Section 118 of the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam provides for a presumption concerning dowry death where the statutory requirements are established. The existence of such presumptions reflects the difficulty of proving certain forms of domestic violence that occur within private spaces.

**6.3 Judicial Protection and Procedural Safeguards**

The evolution of judicial doctrine cannot be described simply as an expansion of criminal liability. Courts have simultaneously emphasised procedural safeguards.

In *Arnesh Kumar*, the Supreme Court addressed the consequences of automatic arrest in Section 498A cases. The judgment required police officers to assess the necessity of arrest according to statutory conditions rather than treating arrest as routine. This is significant because effective protection of women requires both access to criminal law and adherence to due process.

Similarly, *Sushil Kumar Sharma* illustrates the Court's distinction between the protective purpose of legislation and the possibility of improper application. The Court maintained the validity of Section 498A while recognising concerns about false or mala fide complaints. The appropriate analytical conclusion is therefore not that the provision is either wholly effective or wholly defective, but that its operation requires both substantive protection and procedural safeguards.

**7. Law, Dignity, and Women's Rights**

The evolution of cruelty law is closely connected with the constitutional idea of dignity. Article 21's protection of life and personal liberty has been interpreted broadly by the Supreme Court, and dignity has become a significant component of constitutional rights discourse.

Cruelty within a domestic relationship can affect dignity in several ways. Physical violence threatens bodily integrity. Psychological abuse can undermine self-respect and emotional security. Economic abuse can restrict autonomy. Coercive control can limit freedom of decision-making. Sexual violence can violate bodily autonomy. These harms demonstrate why cruelty cannot be treated simply as a disagreement between private individuals. The constitutional framework of equality is also relevant. Articles 14 and 15 provide the broader normative basis for challenging discriminatory treatment, while

International Journal of
LAW, ARTS AND HUMANITIES
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0523 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

Article 21 provides protection for life and personal liberty. The statutory development of domestic violence law can therefore be understood as part of the wider movement towards giving practical meaning to constitutional guarantees.

The PWDVA expressly states that it seeks to provide more effective protection to rights guaranteed under the Constitution to women who experience violence within the family. This formulation is important because it situates domestic violence within a rights-based framework rather than viewing it solely as a question of marital misconduct. At the same time, women's rights must operate within a legal system committed to due process. The judicial discussion of arrest and misuse does not necessarily contradict women's rights protection. Rather, it demonstrates the difficulty of designing legal mechanisms that respond effectively to genuine violence while maintaining procedural safeguards for accused persons. *Arnesh Kumar* is particularly relevant in this regard because the Court's concern was not with removing Section 498A but with ensuring that statutory arrest requirements were followed.

The legal evolution can therefore be understood as a movement towards a more comprehensive conception of justice: protection from violence, recognition of dignity, access to remedies, and adherence to procedural fairness.

**8. Discussion**

The documentary evidence examined in this article demonstrates that the concept of cruelty against women in India has undergone substantial legal transformation. The change is visible not simply in the number of laws enacted but in the vocabulary through which violence is legally understood.

The first major transformation has been the movement from private wrong to public concern. Matrimonial cruelty was once largely situated within family relations, but the development of criminal provisions made serious forms of cruelty a matter of public law. Section 498A represented a particularly important stage in this process. Its incorporation into the BNS through Sections 85 and 86 confirms the continuing legislative recognition of cruelty by husbands and their relatives as a distinct criminal concern. The second transformation has been from physical injury to multidimensional harm. Judicial recognition of mental cruelty, together with the PWDVA's inclusion of emotional and economic abuse, demonstrates that legally relevant harm may occur without obvious physical injury. The Supreme Court's reasoning in *Samar Ghosh* is particularly important because it recognises the contextual and changing character of mental cruelty. The third transformation has been from punishment to protection. Criminal law remains important, but the PWDVA adds a protective dimension through residence, protection, monetary and related remedies. The judicial interpretation of the shared household in *Satish Chander Ahuja* illustrates how domestic violence law can address the material conditions within which women experience vulnerability. The fourth transformation concerns evidence and institutional procedure. Legal recognition of cruelty does not automatically establish its occurrence in a particular case. Courts must evaluate evidence, statutory requirements, context, and competing claims. The evidentiary presumptions relating to suicide by a married woman and dowry death under the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam illustrate the legislature's attempt to address particular evidentiary difficulties. The fifth development is the emergence of procedural safeguards alongside substantive protection. Judicial decisions concerning Section 498A show that the legal system has attempted to prevent automatic arrest and mechanical criminal proceedings while retaining the underlying offence. *Sushil Kumar Sharma* and *Arnesh Kumar* should therefore be

International Journal of
LAW, ARTS AND HUMANITIES
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0523 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

read together: the first affirms the constitutional validity and protective purpose of the offence, while the second emphasises responsible application of criminal procedure.

The literature also suggests that the evolution of legal concepts should not be confused with proof that social conditions have changed at the same rate. Ghosh (2013), Satyogi (2019), and Subramaniam and Krishnan (2016) demonstrate different ways in which institutional practice, social norms, and judicial interpretation mediate the operation of legal protections.

Consequently, the principal finding of this documentary analysis is that Indian law has progressively expanded the legal vocabulary of cruelty, but the concept remains context-dependent. There is no single legal definition that operates identically in criminal law, matrimonial law, domestic violence law, and evidentiary law. The same conduct may have different legal significance depending on the statutory framework, the purpose of the proceeding, and the evidence available. This distinction is particularly important for future research. A legal analysis can establish how cruelty is defined and interpreted, but it cannot by itself establish how women experience these forms of cruelty in particular communities or how effectively institutions implement the law. Such questions require empirical research. The present study therefore treats its conclusions as conclusions about the legal and judicial construction of cruelty, not as a comprehensive assessment of the prevalence of violence against women.

## 9. Conclusion

The evolution of the concept of cruelty against women in India reflects a significant transformation in the relationship between gender, family, law, and human rights. The legal system has gradually moved away from treating domestic and matrimonial harm solely as private disputes and has recognised serious forms of cruelty as matters requiring state intervention. Section 498A of the IPC represented an important stage in this transformation by giving statutory recognition to cruelty involving serious physical or mental harm and coercive harassment. Its historical significance continues under Sections 85 and 86 of the BNS, 2023. The development of matrimonial law further established mental cruelty as a legally relevant concept, while the PWDVA expanded protection by recognising physical, sexual, verbal and emotional, and economic abuse.

The legal trajectory therefore reveals neither a simple expansion of punishment nor a complete resolution of gender-based violence. Instead, it shows an ongoing attempt to balance several legal objectives: protecting women from violence, recognising dignity and equality, providing meaningful remedies, establishing evidentiary mechanisms, and safeguarding procedural fairness. The contemporary legal conception of cruelty is consequently broader than the earlier emphasis on physical violence and dowry-related harassment. Psychological, emotional, economic, and domestic forms of harm have gained greater legal visibility. Yet the continuing importance of context, evidence, judicial interpretation, and institutional procedure demonstrates that legal recognition is only one part of the broader struggle against gender-based violence.

Future research can build on this documentary analysis by examining how the post-2024 BNS framework is being interpreted by courts, how Sections 85 and 86 are being applied in practice, and how the new criminal-law framework interacts with the continuing protective structure of the PWDVA. Such research would provide an empirical complement to the doctrinal and content-based analysis presented here.

## References

Agnes, F. (1999). Law and gender inequality: The politics of women's rights in India. *Oxford University Press*.

International Journal of
LAW, ARTS AND HUMANITIES
Peer Reviewed, Referred & Indexed Journal
ISSN: 3070-0523 www.zesterapublications.com Original Research Paper

Bhatia, M. (2012). Domestic violence in India: Cases under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005. *South Asia Research, 32*(2), 103–122. https://doi.org/10.1177/0262728012453489

Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, No. 45 of 2023, India Code. (2023). Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.

Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023, No. 47 of 2023, India Code. (2023). Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India.

Furr, L. A., & Kapur, S. (2025). A longitudinal analysis of intimate partner violence in India: Did changes in the law make a difference? *Violence Against Women*. https://doi.org/10.1177/10778012251397964

Ghosh, B. (2013). How does the legal framework protect victims of dowry and domestic violence in India? A critical review. *Aggression and Violent Behavior, 18*(4), 409–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2013.04.002

Government of India. (2005). *The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005*. Ministry of Law and Justice.

Law Commission of India. (2012). *Section 498A, Indian Penal Code* (Report No. 243). Government of India.

Palkar, V. (2003). Failing gender justice in anti-dowry law. *Contributions to Indian Sociology, 37*(1–2), 187–209. https://doi.org/10.1177/0262728003232005

Panchal, T. J., Thusoo, S., Inamdar, V., Balaji, A., et al. (2023). Domestic violence and the law: A study of complaints under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 in Maharashtra, India. *Violence Against Women, 29*(12–13). https://doi.org/10.1177/10778012231188091

Satyogi, P. (2019). Law, police and 'domestic cruelty': Assembling written complaints from oral narratives. *Contributions to Indian Sociology, 53*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/0069966718812522

Subramaniam, M., & Krishnan, P. (2016). Stranded between the law, family, and society: Women in domestic violence and rulings of India's Supreme Court. *Current Sociology, 64*(4), 524–542. https://doi.org/10.1177/0011392116640449

*Samar Ghosh v. Jaya Ghosh*, (2007) 4 SCC 511.

*Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar*, (2014) 8 SCC 273.

*Satish Chander Ahuja v. Sneha Ahuja*, (2021) 1 SCC 414.

*Sushil Kumar Sharma v. Union of India*, (2005) 6 SCC 281.

*Hindu Marriage Act*, 1955, India.

*Dowry Prohibition Act*, 1961, India.

*Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act*, 2005, India.

*Constitution of India*, 1950.

Received: 15-05-2026 | Accepted: 20-06-2026 | Published: 27-06-2026