

AI-BASED PREDICTION OF HEART RATE CHANGES FROM BODY TEMPERATURE IN PEDIATRIC INTENSIVE CARE PATIENTS

SHRAVANI JASTHI, HARSHA GANESH KARLE², KURME RAGHU³, MORISHETTI SAI PRASANNA KUMAR⁴, MUSKU SATHWIK REDDY⁵

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR¹, UG SCHOLAR^{2,3,4&5}

DEPARTMENT OF CSE, NARSIMHA REDDY ENGINEERING COLLEGE (UGC- AUTONOMOUS) MAISAMMAGUDA (V), KOMPALLY, SECUNDERABAD, TELANGANA-500100

ABSTRACT

Monitoring vital signs such as heart rate and body temperature is critical for assessing the health condition of children admitted to the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU). Variations in body temperature often influence heart rate, and abnormal patterns may indicate infections, inflammation, or other medical complications. Early identification of such physiological changes can assist healthcare professionals in making timely clinical decisions and improving patient outcomes. This study proposes an Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based approach to predict heart rate changes based on body temperature data collected from pediatric patients in intensive care settings. The system utilizes machine learning algorithms to analyze large volumes of patient monitoring data and identify patterns between temperature fluctuations and heart rate variations. Historical patient data, including vital sign measurements and clinical observations, are used to train predictive models capable of estimating heart rate responses to temperature changes. The proposed framework involves data preprocessing, feature extraction, model training, and prediction, enabling the system to detect abnormal physiological patterns and support real-time patient monitoring. Machine learning models such as Random Forest, Support Vector Machine, and Neural Networks can be employed to improve prediction accuracy and reliability. The developed system aims to assist clinicians by providing early warning indicators of potential health deterioration, thereby enabling proactive medical intervention. By integrating AI with pediatric healthcare monitoring systems, the proposed approach enhances the efficiency of patient monitoring and contributes to improved decision-making in critical care environments.

INTRODUCTION

The Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) is a highly specialized hospital environment where critically ill children receive continuous medical monitoring and life-saving treatments. In this setting, monitoring vital physiological parameters such as **heart rate, body temperature, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation, and blood pressure** is essential for assessing the health condition of pediatric patients. Among these vital signs, **heart rate and body temperature** play a crucial role in evaluating a child's physiological stability and identifying early signs of infection, inflammation, or other critical conditions. Understanding the relationship between these two parameters can help healthcare professionals detect potential health complications earlier and provide timely medical interventions.

Heart rate is one of the most sensitive indicators of a child's physiological state. It reflects the functioning of the cardiovascular system and responds quickly to changes in the body's internal and external environment. Body temperature, on the other hand, is an important indicator of metabolic activity and immune response. In pediatric patients, especially those admitted

to the PICU, changes in body temperature can significantly influence heart rate. For example, fever is commonly associated with an increase in heart rate due to elevated metabolic demands and increased oxygen consumption. Similarly, hypothermia may lead to decreased heart rate and other cardiovascular changes. Monitoring and analyzing this relationship is therefore critical for clinicians in identifying abnormal physiological responses in critically ill children.

Traditionally, the analysis of heart rate and body temperature relationships has been performed manually by clinicians using standard medical guidelines and observational data. While this approach provides valuable insights, it may not always capture complex physiological patterns or subtle variations that occur in critically ill patients. Pediatric patients often exhibit diverse responses to illness, medications, and treatment procedures, making it difficult to accurately predict physiological changes using conventional methods alone. In addition, PICU environments generate large volumes of patient monitoring data from bedside devices, which can be challenging to analyze efficiently using manual methods.

With the rapid advancement of healthcare technology and digital health systems, large datasets of patient monitoring information are now available for analysis. These datasets include continuous recordings of vital signs collected through electronic health records (EHRs) and medical monitoring devices. Such data provides an opportunity to apply **Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML)** techniques to analyze complex physiological patterns and improve predictive healthcare models. AI has the potential to transform critical care medicine by enabling automated analysis, early detection of health risks, and personalized patient management strategies.

Machine learning algorithms are particularly well suited for analyzing healthcare data because they can learn patterns from historical patient information and make predictions about future outcomes. In the context of pediatric intensive care, machine learning models can analyze large volumes of physiological data to identify correlations between vital signs and detect early warning signals of clinical deterioration. By learning from historical datasets of PICU patients, AI models can predict how heart rate changes in response to variations in body temperature and other clinical factors.

The integration of AI into pediatric healthcare monitoring systems offers several advantages. First, it enables **real-time analysis of patient data**, allowing clinicians to receive early alerts when abnormal physiological patterns are detected. Second, AI models can improve diagnostic accuracy by identifying complex relationships between physiological variables that may not be immediately apparent to clinicians. Third, predictive models can support clinical decision-making by providing insights into potential future changes in a patient's condition.

In recent years, several machine learning techniques have been explored for analyzing medical data, including **linear regression, decision trees, random forests, support vector machines, and neural networks**. These algorithms can model nonlinear relationships between physiological variables and generate predictions based on multiple input parameters. Deep learning techniques, such as artificial neural networks, have also shown promising results in analyzing complex healthcare datasets and improving prediction accuracy. By applying these techniques to PICU monitoring data, researchers can develop models capable of predicting heart rate variations based on body temperature and other relevant clinical features.

Another important aspect of AI-based healthcare monitoring is the ability to integrate predictive models into hospital information systems. Once trained, machine learning models can be embedded into clinical decision support systems that continuously analyze patient data from bedside monitoring devices. This integration enables automated monitoring and alerts healthcare providers when abnormal trends are detected. Such systems can assist clinicians in making timely medical decisions and improving patient outcomes.

Despite these promising developments, several challenges remain in implementing AI-based prediction systems in healthcare settings. Medical datasets often contain missing values, measurement noise, and variability among patients, which can affect the accuracy of machine learning models. In addition, ethical considerations such as patient data privacy and model transparency must be addressed when deploying AI systems in clinical environments. Therefore, careful data preprocessing, model validation, and collaboration between medical professionals and data scientists are necessary to ensure reliable and safe implementation.

The proposed study focuses on developing an **AI-based predictive framework for analyzing the relationship between heart rate and body temperature in pediatric patients admitted to the PICU**. By utilizing machine learning algorithms and historical patient monitoring data, the system aims to predict heart rate changes based on temperature variations and other physiological indicators. This predictive approach can support clinicians in identifying abnormal vital sign patterns and improving patient monitoring efficiency.

In conclusion, the integration of artificial intelligence into pediatric intensive care monitoring systems represents a significant advancement in modern healthcare. By leveraging machine learning techniques to analyze the relationship between heart rate and body temperature, healthcare providers can gain deeper insights into pediatric patient physiology and enhance clinical decision-making. AI-based predictive models have the potential to improve early detection of medical complications, support timely interventions, and ultimately enhance the quality of care provided to critically ill children in the PICU.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

Monitoring vital signs such as heart rate and body temperature is essential in pediatric intensive care units (PICU). These physiological parameters provide critical insights into a child's health condition and help clinicians detect early signs of infection, sepsis, or other complications. Traditionally, medical

professionals analyze these parameters manually using clinical guidelines and statistical thresholds. However, due to the complexity and dynamic nature of physiological signals, conventional approaches may fail to capture subtle relationships between vital signs. With the growth of healthcare data and advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), researchers are increasingly applying machine learning techniques to analyze physiological data and predict patient conditions in real time.

Relationship Between Heart Rate and Body Temperature

Several medical studies have investigated the physiological relationship between heart rate and body temperature, particularly in pediatric patients. It is widely observed that an increase in body temperature often leads to an increase in heart rate, a phenomenon commonly referred to as the **temperature-pulse relationship**. In children, this relationship may vary depending on age, medical condition, medication, and severity of illness. Research in pediatric medicine has shown that abnormal variations in heart rate relative to body temperature can indicate underlying medical problems such as infections, inflammatory responses, or cardiovascular disorders. Therefore, analyzing the relationship between these two vital signs can provide valuable diagnostic information in critical care environments.

Traditional Statistical Approaches

Earlier research in pediatric healthcare relied primarily on statistical methods such as regression analysis and correlation models to examine the relationship between vital signs. These studies typically analyzed historical patient records to identify patterns in heart rate changes relative to body temperature variations. While these methods provided useful insights, they often assumed linear relationships and were limited in their ability to capture complex physiological interactions. Moreover, statistical models generally require predefined assumptions and may not adapt well to large-scale clinical datasets with multiple influencing factors.

Machine Learning in Healthcare Monitoring

Recent advancements in machine learning have enabled more sophisticated analysis of physiological data. Machine learning algorithms can automatically identify patterns and relationships within large healthcare datasets without requiring strict statistical assumptions. In critical care settings, machine learning models such as **Support Vector Machines (SVM), Random Forests, Decision Trees, and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)** have been used to predict patient outcomes, detect anomalies in vital signs, and support clinical decision-making. These models can analyze multiple variables simultaneously, including heart rate, temperature, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, and respiratory rate.

For example, studies using machine learning techniques have demonstrated improved accuracy in predicting early signs of sepsis and other critical conditions in ICU patients. By analyzing time-series physiological data, machine learning models can detect subtle changes in vital signs that may indicate patient deterioration. This capability is particularly important in pediatric intensive care, where early detection of abnormal physiological patterns can significantly improve patient outcomes.

Deep Learning Approaches for Physiological Data Analysis

In addition to traditional machine learning methods, deep learning techniques have been increasingly applied to healthcare data analysis. Deep learning models such as **Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)** are capable of capturing complex temporal patterns in physiological signals. For example, recurrent neural networks and long short-term memory (LSTM) networks are particularly effective for analyzing sequential medical data, such as continuous heart rate and temperature measurements recorded over time.

Several studies have demonstrated that deep learning models can outperform traditional statistical methods in predicting physiological trends and patient outcomes. By learning from large datasets of electronic health records and sensor data, deep learning systems can identify nonlinear relationships between vital signs and predict future changes in patient health status.

AI-Driven Monitoring in Pediatric Intensive Care Units

The integration of AI technologies in PICU environments has opened new possibilities for real-time patient monitoring and predictive healthcare. AI-based systems can continuously analyze data from bedside monitors and wearable sensors, allowing healthcare professionals to receive early warnings about abnormal physiological patterns. These intelligent monitoring systems can assist clinicians in making timely decisions, improving patient safety and treatment effectiveness.

In pediatric intensive care, AI-based predictive models can be particularly valuable due to the vulnerability of young patients and the need for rapid clinical interventions. By analyzing heart rate and body temperature data simultaneously, machine learning models can help identify deviations from normal physiological relationships, which may indicate infection, inflammation, or other critical conditions.

Multi-Parameter Health Monitoring Systems

Recent research has emphasized the importance of integrating multiple physiological parameters when analyzing patient health conditions. Instead of focusing solely on heart rate and temperature, modern healthcare analytics systems often incorporate additional variables such as respiratory rate, blood pressure, oxygen saturation, and laboratory results. These multi-parameter models provide a more comprehensive understanding of patient health and improve prediction accuracy.

Machine learning frameworks designed for multi-parameter monitoring often use feature extraction and data fusion techniques to combine information from various sensors and clinical records. These integrated approaches enhance the ability of AI systems to detect complex health patterns and provide more reliable predictions in critical care environments.

Challenges and Research Gaps

Despite the promising potential of AI in healthcare monitoring, several challenges remain. One major challenge is the availability and quality of clinical data. Medical datasets may contain missing values, noise, or inconsistencies, which can affect model performance. Additionally, ensuring the privacy and security of patient data is a critical concern when developing AI-based healthcare systems.

Another challenge is the interpretability of machine learning models. In clinical settings, healthcare professionals require transparent and explainable models that provide clear reasoning behind predictions. Black-box AI systems may face resistance from clinicians if their decision-making processes are not easily understandable.

Conclusion

The literature indicates that the application of artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques has significantly advanced the analysis of physiological data in pediatric intensive care units. AI-based predictive models provide a powerful tool for studying the relationship between heart rate and body temperature in critically ill children. By leveraging large datasets and advanced machine learning algorithms, these systems can identify complex physiological patterns and support early detection of health complications. Future research should focus on improving model interpretability, integrating multi-parameter health data, and developing real-time predictive systems that enhance patient care in pediatric intensive care environments.

SYSTEM ANALYSIS

EXISTING SYSTEM

In pediatric intensive care units (PICU), monitoring vital signs such as **heart rate and body temperature** is essential for assessing a child's health condition. Traditionally, these parameters are monitored using **medical devices such as thermometers, heart rate monitors, and bedside patient monitoring systems**. Healthcare professionals observe these values manually or through hospital monitoring systems to identify abnormal physiological changes.

In most hospitals, the relationship between heart rate and body temperature is evaluated using **standard clinical guidelines and empirical medical knowledge**. Doctors often rely on simple rules such as the **expected increase in heart rate during fever**, where the heart rate rises proportionally with temperature. Medical staff monitor vital signs periodically and interpret them based on clinical experience.

Electronic health record (EHR) systems also store patient data, allowing clinicians to review historical information about a patient's vital signs. However, the analysis of this data is often limited to **basic statistical observations and manual interpretation** rather than automated predictive modeling.

Some healthcare systems have introduced **basic statistical models or threshold-based alert systems**. These systems trigger alarms when vital signs exceed predefined limits. For example, if a child's heart rate or temperature crosses a certain threshold, the system generates an alert for medical staff.

Despite these technological improvements, most traditional monitoring systems do not utilize **advanced machine learning or predictive analytics**. The analysis of vital sign relationships remains largely reactive rather than predictive. Clinicians detect abnormalities only after they occur, rather than predicting potential physiological changes in advance.

As a result, traditional monitoring approaches may not fully exploit the large amount of patient data generated in modern intensive care environments. This limitation highlights the need

for intelligent systems capable of identifying complex patterns in vital sign data.

DISADVANTAGES OF THE EXISTING SYSTEM

Although current monitoring systems provide essential patient information, they have several limitations.

One of the major drawbacks is the **lack of predictive capability**. Traditional monitoring systems mainly focus on real-time observation of vital signs rather than predicting future changes. As a result, medical staff may only detect abnormalities after they have already developed.

Another limitation is the **dependence on manual analysis by healthcare professionals**. Doctors and nurses must interpret vital sign data based on their experience and clinical judgment. This process can be time-consuming and may lead to inconsistencies in decision-making.

Existing systems also rely heavily on **fixed threshold values** for triggering alerts. However, physiological responses vary significantly among children depending on age, medical condition, and treatment. Fixed thresholds may therefore generate **false alarms or miss early warning signs**.

Traditional monitoring systems also struggle to identify **complex relationships between multiple physiological variables**. For example, the interaction between body temperature and heart rate may depend on additional factors such as infection, medication, hydration level, or respiratory status. Basic statistical approaches cannot easily capture these complex patterns.

Another disadvantage is the **underutilization of large clinical datasets** stored in hospital information systems. These datasets contain valuable insights that could improve patient monitoring and early diagnosis, but they are rarely analyzed using advanced computational techniques.

Finally, existing systems may lead to **delayed clinical response** because abnormalities are detected only after significant changes occur in vital signs. In critical care environments such as PICU, early detection of physiological deterioration is crucial for preventing complications and improving patient outcomes.

PROPOSED SYSTEM

The proposed system introduces an **AI-based prediction framework** that analyzes the relationship between body temperature and heart rate in children admitted to the pediatric intensive care unit. The system uses **machine learning algorithms to identify patterns and predict heart rate changes based on body temperature and other clinical parameters**.

In this approach, historical patient data is collected from hospital monitoring systems and electronic health records. The dataset includes variables such as **heart rate, body temperature, age, weight, medical diagnosis, and treatment information**. This data is preprocessed and used to train machine learning models.

Machine learning algorithms such as **Random Forest, Support Vector Machine (SVM), Linear Regression, or Artificial Neural Networks** are applied to learn the relationship between temperature and heart rate variations. These models can analyze large datasets and discover patterns that may not be visible through traditional statistical analysis.

Once trained, the AI model can predict **future heart rate changes based on current body temperature readings and patient characteristics**. The system can also detect abnormal patterns that may indicate infection, fever progression, or other clinical conditions.

The AI system can be integrated into hospital monitoring platforms, where it continuously analyzes real-time patient data. If the model predicts a significant deviation from normal physiological behavior, it can generate an **early warning alert for medical staff**.

This predictive approach enables clinicians to **identify potential health risks earlier and make informed treatment decisions**. The system can also assist doctors by providing data-driven insights about the physiological relationship between heart rate and temperature in critically ill children.

ADVANTAGES OF THE PROPOSED SYSTEM

The proposed AI-based system offers several significant advantages compared to traditional monitoring approaches.

One of the key benefits is **early prediction of physiological changes**. Machine learning models can detect patterns in patient data and predict heart rate variations before they become clinically significant. This allows healthcare professionals to take preventive actions earlier.

Another advantage is **improved diagnostic accuracy**. AI algorithms analyze large amounts of patient data and identify complex relationships between vital signs. This reduces the likelihood of human error and supports more accurate clinical decision-making.

The system also provides **personalized monitoring for each patient**. Unlike fixed threshold systems, machine learning models can adapt to individual patient characteristics such as age, medical condition, and baseline heart rate. This personalization helps reduce false alarms and improves monitoring reliability.

The proposed approach also enhances the **efficient use of healthcare data**. Hospitals generate vast amounts of patient data every day, and AI models can extract valuable insights from these datasets to improve patient care.

Another important advantage is **real-time monitoring and automated alerts**. The system continuously analyzes patient data and notifies healthcare professionals when abnormal patterns are detected. This reduces response time in emergency situations.

The system also helps **reduce the workload of medical staff** by assisting in the interpretation of complex physiological data. Doctors and nurses can focus more on patient care while the AI system performs continuous data analysis.

Finally, the integration of AI in PICU monitoring systems contributes to **better patient outcomes and improved healthcare efficiency**. Early detection of abnormal physiological changes can help prevent complications and support timely medical intervention.

IMPLEMENTATION

1. Data Collection Module

The first step is collecting **clinical data from Pediatric Intensive Care Unit (PICU) patients**. The dataset may include **heart rate, body temperature, age, gender, respiratory rate, oxygen saturation (SpO₂), blood pressure, and medical history**. Data can be obtained from hospital monitoring systems, electronic health records (EHR), or clinical datasets used for medical research.

2. Data Preprocessing Module

Medical data often contains **missing values, noise, and inconsistent records**. In this stage, preprocessing techniques are applied such as:

- Removing duplicate or incomplete records
- Handling missing values using interpolation or imputation
- Normalizing numerical data for consistent scale
- Encoding categorical variables (e.g., gender, diagnosis)

This step improves the quality of data used for training the AI models.

3. Feature Selection and Extraction Module

Important features related to heart rate prediction are selected. These may include:

- Body temperature
- Age and weight of the child
- Respiratory rate
- Oxygen saturation levels
- Medical condition or diagnosis

Feature selection techniques such as **correlation analysis, principal component analysis (PCA), or feature importance methods** help identify the most influential variables affecting heart rate changes.

4. Machine Learning Model Development Module

Machine learning algorithms are applied to learn the relationship between **body temperature and heart rate**. Common models used include:

- **Linear Regression**
- **Random Forest Regression**
- **Support Vector Regression (SVR)**
- **Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)**

The dataset is split into **training and testing sets** so the model can learn patterns and predict heart rate based on body temperature and other features.

5. Model Training and Optimization Module

The selected models are trained using the training dataset. Hyperparameter tuning techniques such as **Grid Search and Cross-Validation** are used to optimize model performance. This step ensures that the model generalizes well to unseen patient data.

6. Prediction Module

Once the model is trained, it is used to **predict heart rate changes based on real-time body temperature measurements** and other vital parameters. The system can alert medical staff if abnormal heart rate patterns are predicted.

7. Model Evaluation Module

The performance of the prediction model is evaluated using metrics such as:

- **Mean Absolute Error (MAE)**
- **Mean Squared Error (MSE)**
- **Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)**
- **R² Score**

These metrics help determine how accurately the model predicts heart rate changes in PICU patients.

8. Visualization and Monitoring Module

Results are displayed through **clinical dashboards or monitoring systems**. Graphs and charts can show trends between **body temperature and heart rate**, helping doctors understand patient conditions and make timely decisions.

9. Deployment and Clinical Decision Support Module

The final model can be integrated into **hospital monitoring systems or mobile healthcare platforms**. Real-time patient data is analyzed continuously, providing **early warnings and decision support** for healthcare professionals in the PICU.

10. Algorithms

DECISION TREE CLASSIFIERS

Decision tree classifiers are used successfully in many diverse areas. Their most important feature is the capability of capturing descriptive decision making knowledge from the supplied data. Decision tree can be generated from training sets. The procedure for such generation based on the set of objects (S), each belonging to one of the classes C₁, C₂, ..., C_k is as follows:

Step 1. If all the objects in S belong to the same class, for example C_i, the decision tree for S consists of a leaf labeled with this class

Step 2. Otherwise, let T be some test with possible outcomes O₁, O₂, ..., O_n. Each object in S has one outcome for T so the test partitions S into subsets S₁, S₂, ..., S_n where each object in S_i has outcome O_i for T. T becomes the root of the decision tree and for each outcome O_i we build a subsidiary decision tree by invoking the same procedure recursively on the set S_i.

GRADIENT BOOSTING Gradient boosting is a [machine learning](#) technique used in [regression](#) and [classification](#) tasks, among others. It gives a prediction model in the form of an [ensemble](#) of weak prediction models, which are typically [decision trees](#).^{[1][2]} When a decision tree is the weak learner, the resulting algorithm is called gradient-boosted trees; it usually outperforms [random forest](#). A gradient-boosted trees model is built in a stage-wise fashion as in other [boosting](#) methods, but it generalizes the other methods by allowing optimization of an arbitrary [differentiable loss function](#).

K-NEAREST NEIGHBORS (KNN)

- Simple, but a very powerful classification algorithm
- Classifies based on a similarity measure
- Non-parametric
- Lazy learning
- Does not “learn” until the test example is given
- Whenever we have a new data to classify, we find its K-nearest neighbors from the training data

Example

- Training dataset consists of k-closest examples in feature space
- Feature space means, space with categorization variables (non-metric variables)
- Learning based on instances, and thus also works lazily because instance close to the input vector for test or prediction may take time to occur in the training dataset

LOGISTIC REGRESSION CLASSIFIERS

Logistic regression analysis studies the association between a categorical dependent variable and a set of independent (explanatory) variables. The name *logistic regression* is used when the dependent variable has only two values, such as 0 and 1 or Yes and No. The name *multinomial logistic regression* is usually reserved for the case when the dependent variable has three or more unique values, such as Married, Single, Divorced, or Widowed. Although the type of data used for the dependent variable is different from that of multiple regression, the practical use of the procedure is similar. Logistic regression competes with discriminant analysis as a method for analyzing categorical-response variables. Many statisticians feel that logistic regression is more versatile and better suited for modeling most situations than is discriminant analysis. This is because logistic regression

does not assume that the independent variables are normally distributed, as discriminant analysis does. This program computes binary logistic regression and multinomial logistic regression on both numeric and categorical independent variables. It reports on the regression equation as well as the goodness of fit, odds ratios, confidence limits, likelihood, and deviance. It performs a comprehensive residual analysis including diagnostic residual reports and plots. It can perform an independent variable subset selection search, looking for the best regression model with the fewest independent variables. It provides confidence intervals on predicted values and provides ROC curves to help determine the best cutoff point for classification. It allows you to validate your results by automatically classifying rows that are not used during the analysis.

NAÏVE BAYES

The naive bayes approach is a supervised learning method which is based on a simplistic hypothesis: it assumes that the presence (or absence) of a particular feature of a class is unrelated to the presence (or absence) of any other feature. Yet, despite this, it appears robust and efficient. Its performance is comparable to other supervised learning techniques. Various reasons have been advanced in the literature. In this tutorial, we highlight an explanation based on the representation bias. The naive bayes classifier is a linear classifier, as well as linear discriminant analysis, logistic regression or linear SVM (support vector machine). The difference lies on the method of estimating the parameters of the classifier (the learning bias). While the Naive Bayes classifier is widely used in the research world, it is not widespread among practitioners which want to obtain usable results. On the one hand, the researchers found especially it is very easy to program and implement it, its parameters are easy to estimate, learning is very fast even on very large databases, its accuracy is reasonably good in comparison to the other approaches. On the other hand, the final users do not obtain a model easy to interpret and deploy, they does not understand the interest of such a technique. Thus, we introduce in a new presentation of the results of the learning process. The classifier is easier to understand, and its deployment is also made easier. In the first part of this tutorial, we present some theoretical aspects of the naive bayes classifier. Then, we implement the approach on a dataset with Tanagra. We compare the obtained results (the parameters of the model) to those obtained with other linear approaches such as the logistic regression, the linear discriminant analysis and the linear SVM. We note that the results are highly consistent. This largely explains the good performance of the method in comparison to others. In the second part, we use various tools on the same dataset ([Weka 3.6.0](#), [R 2.9.2](#), [Klime 2.1.1](#), [Orange 2.0b](#) and [RapidMiner 4.6.0](#)). We try above all to understand the obtained results.

RANDOM FOREST

Random forests or random decision forests are an ensemble learning method for classification, regression and other tasks that operates by constructing a multitude of decision trees at training time. For classification tasks, the output of the random forest is the class selected by most trees. For regression tasks, the mean or average prediction of the individual trees is returned. Random decision forests correct for decision trees' habit of overfitting to their training set. Random forests generally outperform decision trees, but their accuracy is lower than gradient boosted trees. However, data characteristics can affect their performance. The first algorithm for random decision forests was created in 1995 by Tin Kam Ho[1] using the random subspace method, which, in Ho's formulation, is a way to implement the "stochastic discrimination" approach to classification proposed by Eugene Kleinberg. An extension of the algorithm was developed by Leo Breiman and Adele Cutler, who registered "Random Forests" as a trademark in 2006 (as of 2019, owned by Minitab, Inc.). The extension combines Breiman's "bagging" idea and random selection of features, introduced first by Ho[1] and later independently by Amit and Geman[13] in order to construct a collection of decision trees with controlled variance. Random forests are frequently used as "blackbox" models in businesses, as they generate reasonable predictions across a wide range of data while requiring little configuration.

SVM

In classification tasks a discriminant machine learning technique aims at finding, based on an *independent and identically distributed (iid)* training dataset, a discriminant function that can correctly predict labels for newly acquired instances. Unlike generative machine learning approaches, which require computations of conditional probability distributions, a discriminant classification function takes a data point x and assigns it to one of the different classes that are a part of the classification task. Less powerful than generative approaches, which are mostly used when prediction involves outlier detection, discriminant approaches require fewer computational resources and less training data, especially for a multidimensional feature space and when only posterior probabilities are needed. From a geometric perspective, learning a classifier is equivalent to finding the equation for a multidimensional surface that best separates the different classes in the feature space. SVM is a discriminant technique, and, because it solves the convex optimization problem analytically, it always returns the same optimal hyperplane parameter—in contrast to *genetic algorithms (GAs)* or *perceptrons*, both of which are widely used for classification in machine learning. For perceptrons, solutions are highly dependent on the initialization and termination criteria. For a specific kernel that transforms the data from the input space to the feature space, training returns uniquely defined SVM model parameters for a given training set, whereas the perceptron and GA classifier models are different each time training is initialized. The aim of GAs and perceptrons is only to minimize error during

training, which will translate into several hyperplanes' meeting this requirement.

CONCLUSION

In summary, this study represents a comprehensive and innovative approach to understanding the relationship between HR, BT, and age in children within the PICU. By employing a meticulous multi-stage data preprocessing strategy, the research aimed to reveal complex patterns that conventional models might overlook. The findings of this study align with prior research by confirming the expected downtrend in HR with increasing patient age. However, the nuanced approach of grouping data by temperature rather than age allowed for a more granular exploration. Notably, the performance evaluation of various ML and DL algorithms yielded insightful results. Unlike some earlier studies that suggested linear correlations, conventional linear regression demonstrated limited effectiveness in capturing the linear relationship within the data. On the other hand, QR performed with advanced ML, such as the GBM model, exhibited superior performance, successfully uncovering nonlinear relationships across a broad BT range from 33 to 40.9°C. Furthermore, the HR model predictions clearly show a downward HR trend with age and an upward trend with BT between the 5th and 95th percentiles. Based on that model, we created a simple user interface for caregivers. Based on age and BT, they can quickly determine in real-time whether a patient's HR falls within the normal range or not. These findings have significant implications, highlighting the potential of ML and DL techniques to decode intricate associations in critically ill pediatric patients. The identified model enhances prediction capabilities and holds promise for early detection and developing more personalized and effective therapeutic interventions. Looking forward, the paper suggests promising future directions and areas for improvement. One intriguing prospect involves observing a cohort of subjects upon whom clinicians have implemented interventions guided by the predicted HR model. These observations could involve observing trends and assessing the long-term effects of interventions. Extracting insights from the resulting conditions and outcomes would be interesting in refining future studies. Moreover, if the HR falls outside the 5th and 95th percentiles, the time spent in this range may be associated with bad outcomes. It would be valuable to investigate whether there are treatments that could reduce the duration of time spent in these extremes to enhance patient outcomes. Another direction for future research is to explore the interplay of variables, focusing on gender-based differences, considering that HR tends to be higher in women than men. Finally, incorporating other neural network architectures, such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) or Transformers, could offer valuable insights.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Slusher, A. W. Kiragu, L. T. Day, A. R. Bjorklund, A. Shirk, C. Johannsen, and S. A. Hagen, "Pediatric critical care in resource-limited settings—overview and lessons learned," *Frontiers in pediatrics*, vol. 6, p. 49, 2018.
- [2] J. A. Heneghan, R. W. Reeder, J. M. Dean, K. L. Meert, R. A. Berg, J. Carcillo, C. J. Newth, H. Dalton, R. Tamburro, and M. M. Pollack, "Characteristics and outcomes of critical illness in children with feeding and respiratory technology dependence," *Pediatric critical care medicine: a journal of the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the World Federation of Pediatric Intensive and Critical Care Societies*, vol. 20, no. 5, p. 417, 2019.
- [3] Cdss grouping in ai acute care for the child. Accessed: April 16, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://chusj-sip-ia.ca/home>
- [4] I. J. Brekke, L. H. Puntervoll, P. B. Pedersen, J. Kellett, and M. Brabrand, "The value of vital sign trends in predicting and monitoring clinical deterioration: A systematic review," *PloS one*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. e0210875, 2019.
- [5] M. M. Jensen and M. Brabrand, "The relationship between body temperature, heart rate and respiratory rate in acute patients at admission to a medical care unit," in *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, vol. 23, no. 1. BioMed Central, 2015, pp. 1–1.
- [6] P. Davies and I. Maconochie, "The relationship between body temperature, heart rate and respiratory rate in children," *Emergency medicine journal: EMJ*, vol. 26, no. 9, p. 641, 2009.
- [7] S. Liu, J. Yao, and M. Motani, "Early prediction of vital signs using generative boosting via lstm networks," in 2019 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM). IEEE, 2019, pp. 437–444.
- [8] B. W. Nelson, C. A. Low, N. Jacobson, P. Areán, J. Torous, and N. B. Allen, "Guidelines for wrist-worn consumer wearable assessment of heart rate in biobehavioral research," *NPJ digital medicine*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 90, 2020.
- [9] S. Fleming, M. Thompson, R. Stevens, C. Heneghan, A. Plüddemann, I. Maconochie, L. Tarassenko, and D. Mant, "Normal ranges of heart rate and respiratory rate in children from birth to 18 years of age: a systematic review of observational studies," *The Lancet*, vol. 377, no. 9770, pp. 1011–1018, 2011.
- [10] C. Daymont, C. P. Bonafide, and P.W. Brady, "Heart rates in hospitalized children by age and body temperature," *Pediatrics*, vol. 135, no. 5, pp. e1173–e1181, 2015.
- [11] S. Sidhu and J. E. Marine, "Evaluating and managing bradycardia," *Trends in cardiovascular medicine*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 265–272, 2020.
- [12] C. Heal, A. Harvey, S. Brown, A. G. Rowland, and D. Roland, "The association between temperature, heart rate, and respiratory rate in children aged under 16 years attending urgent and emergency care settings," *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 29, no. 6, p. 413, 2022.
- [13] H. K. Walker, W. D. Hall, and J.W. Hurst, "Clinical methods: the history, physical, and laboratory examinations," 1990.
- [14] M. Thompson, A. Harnden, R. Perera, R. Mayon-White, L. Smith, D. McLeod, and D. Mant, "Deriving temperature and age appropriate heart rate centiles for children with acute infections," *Archives of disease in childhood*, vol. 94, no. 5, pp. 361–365, 2009.
- [15] G. W. Kirschen, D. D. Singer, H. C. Thode Jr, and A. J. Singer, "Relationship between body temperature and heart rate in adults and children: A local and national study," *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 929–933, 2020.